

Upon reading the proposed rules for drawbridge regulations I encountered a few parts that bothered me. I have a concern about the minimum time of notification needed in order to make maintenance repairs. By proposing a mandatory ninety-day or more notice it will cause an increased need for emergency repair. I think that the thirty-day minimum that is currently in effect is of sufficient time. As a good citizen, I would much rather only have three or four weeks of notice than little or no notice at all when the bridge is all of a sudden under emergency repair. Section 117.37 asks for as much notice as possible for temporarily closing a bridge for a public event. I do not see any problem with that, but compared to the ninety-day minimum requirement for maintenance that involves public safety is an unreasonable expectation. I hope that you will please carefully consider my comments and make the decision that will favor citizens overall.